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The spherical harmonics ( $\mathrm{P}_{N}$ ) equations are a moment-based method to solve the Boltzmann transport equation by expanding the angular variable $\hat{\Omega}$ in terms of spherical harmonics functions and then truncating the expansion at some order with a closure. These methods have been shown to work well in problems with moderate amounts of scattering or with appropriate closures [1-4]. The $\mathrm{SP}_{N}$ equations, or simplified $\mathrm{P}_{N}$ equations, were originally derived by Gelbard through taking a spherical harmonics expansion to the 1-D slab geometry transport equation and making some $a d$ hoc substitutions to make the equations "look" 3-D. Gelbard was able to show that under many situations, the most general being an infinite medium with a constant cross-section, the $\mathrm{SP}_{N}$ solution for the scalar flux would be the same as the scalar flux solution from the full, and much more complicated, $\mathrm{P}_{N}$ equations. Later variational and asymptotic derivations of the $\mathrm{SP}_{N}$ equations were presented [5-9]. These derivations made it clear that the $\mathrm{SP}_{N}$ equations, in the form they are most commonly solved, do not give the same solution as the $\mathrm{P}_{N}$ equations. Also, there has never been an interpretation of the $\mathrm{SP}_{N}$ unknowns in terms of spherical harmonics moments, except for the scalar flux and current where the intrepretation is trivial. Much of the current knowledge of can be found by the interested reader in a recent special issue of $T T S P$ commenorating the $50^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of the $\mathrm{SP}_{N}$ equations [10].

In the 1970's Selengut presented in an inscrutably terse ANS transactions paper a derivation of a $\mathrm{P}_{3}-$ equivalent form of the $\mathrm{SP}_{3}$ equations with appropriate interface conditions. The trail of this work apparently went cold thereafter, and no numerical solutions or in depth derivations of these equations have surfaced in the literature. The lack of derivation details has made it difficult to extend Selengut's work and verify its correctness, in a similar vein to Fermat's last theorem in that we have the result, but not how it was arrived $\mathrm{at}^{*}$. Of course there would be a large impact of a $\mathrm{P}_{3}$-equivalent $\mathrm{SP}_{3}$ method in computational transport in that $\mathrm{SP}_{3}$ is a workhorse method for reactor calculations and it has only 3 angular unknowns compared to the 16 unknowns of the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ equations in first-order form.

In an ongoing research program we are endeavoring to find $\mathrm{P}_{N}$-equivalent $\mathrm{SP}_{N}$ methods, and this abstract presents some initial, though theoretically important, results to that end. Specifically, we present a $\mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{-}$ equivalent form of the $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ equations in 2-D Cartesian geometry. We were able to find an interpretation of

[^0]all the $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ unknowns and show how it goes to the standard equation for the scalar flux in the case of an infinite medium with constant cross-section.

We will be considering the linear, steady, and one-speed transport equation with isotropic scattering:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Omega \cdot \nabla+\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \psi=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{s}} \phi+Q\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi(\vec{x}, \Omega, t)$ is the angular flux with scalar flux given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\vec{x})=\int_{4 \pi} \psi(\vec{x}, \Omega) d \Omega \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, in Eq. (1) $\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the macroscropic total cross-section, $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the macroscopic scattering cross-section, and $Q$ is the isotropic, prescribed source.

The $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ equations as an approximation to Eq. (1) in 2-D $x-z$ geometry, as derived previously [11, 12], are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \psi_{0}^{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{1}^{0}-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{1}^{1}=\frac{Q}{\sqrt{4 \pi}}  \tag{3a}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{1}^{0}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \psi_{0}^{0}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \psi_{2}^{0}\right)-\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{2}^{1}=0  \tag{3b}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{1}^{1}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \psi_{0}^{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \psi_{2}^{0}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \psi_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{2}^{1}=0  \tag{3c}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{2}^{0}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{1}^{0}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{1}^{1}=0  \tag{3d}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{2}^{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{1}^{1}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{1}^{0}=0  \tag{3e}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{1}^{1}=0 \tag{3f}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{l}^{m}(\vec{x})=\int_{4 \pi} \bar{Y}_{l}^{m}(\hat{\Omega}) \psi(\vec{x}, \hat{\Omega}) d \hat{\Omega}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{l}^{m}(\mu, \varphi)=(-1)^{m} \sqrt{\frac{2 l+1}{4 \pi} \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!}} P_{l}^{|m|}(\mu) e^{i m \varphi} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we will define a re-normalized moment by undoing the normalization constant used in the above definition of the moments and removing the Condon-Shortley phase term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{l m}=(-1)^{m} \psi_{l}^{m} \sqrt{\frac{4 \pi(l+m)!}{(2 l+1)(l-m)!}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

making
$\psi_{00}=\sqrt{4 \pi} \psi_{0}^{0}, \quad \psi_{10}=\frac{4 \pi}{\sqrt{3}} \psi_{1}^{0}, \quad \psi_{11}=-\sqrt{\frac{8 \pi}{3}} \psi_{1}^{1}, \quad \psi_{20}=\sqrt{\frac{4 \pi}{5}} \psi_{2}^{0}, \quad \psi_{21}=-\sqrt{\frac{24 \pi}{5}} \psi_{2}^{1}, \quad \psi_{22}=\sqrt{\frac{96 \pi}{5}} \psi_{2}^{2}$.
Under this normalization $\psi_{00}=\phi$ and $\vec{J}=\left(\psi_{11}, 0, \psi_{10}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$. These definitions make the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \psi_{00}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}=Q  \tag{6a}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{10}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{3} \psi_{00}+\frac{2}{3} \psi_{20}\right)+\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{21}=0,  \tag{6b}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{3} \psi_{00}-\frac{1}{3} \psi_{20}+\frac{1}{6} \psi_{22}\right)+\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{21}=0,  \tag{6c}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{20}+\frac{2}{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10}-\frac{1}{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}=0,  \tag{6d}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{21}+\frac{3}{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{3}{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}=0,  \tag{6e}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{22}+\frac{6}{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}=0 . \tag{6f}
\end{gather*}
$$

These equations are starting to look like the $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ equations, but there are still some algebraic hoops to jump through.

The next step is to define a linear combination of $\psi_{20}$ and $\psi_{22}$ as a new unknown. If we take Eq. (6d) and add it with one-half times Eq. (6f) we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \phi_{2}+\frac{2}{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10}+\frac{2}{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{2}=\psi_{20}+\frac{\psi_{22}}{2} .
$$

From Eqs. (6d) and (6f) we also get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2} \psi_{20}+\frac{1}{4} \psi_{22}=-\frac{3}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will eliminate $\psi_{20}$ and $\psi_{22}$ in favor of $\phi_{2}$ in Eqs. (6b) and (6c). We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3}\left(\phi_{2}+\frac{3}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10}\right)=\frac{2}{3}\left(\psi_{20}+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{22}-\frac{3}{2} \psi_{20}-\frac{1}{4} \psi_{22}\right)=-\frac{1}{3} \psi_{20}+\frac{1}{6} \psi_{22}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exactly what we need to write the $x$-derivative term in Eq. (6c) in terms of $\phi_{2}$. Using this result and solving Eq. (6e) for $\psi_{21}$ makes Eq. (6c):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{3} \psi_{00}+\frac{2}{3} \phi_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{2}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To we will deal with Eq. (6b) we need to write $\psi_{20}$ in terms of $\phi_{2}$ and $\psi_{11}$. We do this by writing

$$
\frac{2}{3} \psi_{20}=\frac{2}{3} \phi_{2}-\frac{1}{3} \psi_{22}=\frac{2}{3} \phi_{2}+\frac{2}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11},
$$

where we have used Eq. (6f) to write $\psi_{22}$ in terms of $\psi_{11}$. This makes Eq. (6b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \psi_{10}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{3} \psi_{00}+\frac{2}{3} \phi_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{2}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ equations can now be written in terms of 4 variables that can be interpreted as the $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ unknowns:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \phi_{0}+\nabla \cdot \vec{\phi}_{1}=Q \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \vec{\phi}_{1}+\frac{1}{3} \nabla \phi_{0}+\frac{2}{3} \nabla \phi_{2}= & \left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{2}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10} \\
0
\end{array}\right. \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{2}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11} \tag{12c}
\end{array}\right), ~=~ \sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \phi_{2}+\frac{2}{5} \nabla \cdot \vec{\phi}_{1}=0, ~ \$
$$

where $\phi_{0}=\psi_{00}$ and $\vec{\phi}_{1}=\left(\psi_{11}, 0, \psi_{10}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \psi_{l m}=0$. These equations are the $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ equations for $x-z$ geometry with extra terms on the right-hand side of the $\phi_{1}$ equations. We can simplify these terms using vector calculus operators. Here we will see the curl operator, an operator not commonly seen in transport theory. Parsing the righthand side of Eq. (12b) yields

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{5}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{2}{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10} \\
0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{2}{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \psi_{11}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial z} \psi_{10} \\
0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \psi_{10}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial z} \psi_{11}
\end{array}\right) \\
-\frac{2}{5}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1} \\
0 \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{5}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1} \\
0 \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

Using the definition of the curl operator we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \psi_{11}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial z} \psi_{10}  \tag{14}\\
0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \psi_{10}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial z} \psi_{11}
\end{array}\right)=-\nabla \times \nabla \times \overrightarrow{\phi_{1}}
$$

We can also make the simplification:

$$
-2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}  \tag{15}\\
0 \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1} \\
0 \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1} .
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\nabla \times \vec{\phi}_{1}\right) \times \nabla \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}+2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1} \\
0 \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Putting this all together gives the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ equivalent $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \phi_{0}+\nabla \cdot \vec{\phi}_{1}=Q,  \tag{16a}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \vec{\phi}_{1}+\frac{1}{3} \nabla \phi_{0}+\frac{2}{3} \nabla \phi_{2}=-\frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{\phi}_{1}-\frac{1}{5}\left(\nabla \times \vec{\phi}_{1}\right) \times \nabla \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}+\frac{2}{5}\binom{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{11}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{10}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}}{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{10}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_{11}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}^{-1}},  \tag{16c}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \phi_{2}+\frac{2}{5} \nabla \cdot \vec{\phi}_{1}=0 . \tag{16b}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is entirely possible that the last term in Eq. (16b) can be simplified using some other operators, but this simplification has to date escaped this author.

## Properties of the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Equivalent $\mathbf{S P}_{2}$ equations

When $\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}$ is constant the $\vec{\phi}_{1}$ equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \vec{\phi}_{1}+\frac{1}{3} \nabla \phi_{0}+\frac{2}{3} \nabla \phi_{2}=-\frac{1}{5 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{\phi}_{1}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when we apply the divergence operator $(\nabla \cdot)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{\phi}_{1}=-\frac{1}{3 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \nabla^{2} \phi_{0}-\frac{2}{3 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \nabla^{2} \phi_{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\nabla \cdot(\nabla \times \vec{F})=0$ for any vector field $\vec{F}$. Substituting Eq. (18) into the equations for $\phi_{0}$ and $\phi_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{3 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \nabla^{2} \phi_{0}-\frac{2}{3 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \nabla^{2} \phi_{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \phi_{0}=Q  \tag{19a}\\
& -\frac{1}{15 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \nabla^{2} \phi_{0}-\frac{2}{15 \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}} \nabla^{2} \phi_{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \phi_{2}=0 \tag{19b}
\end{align*}
$$

These are precisely the $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ equations when $\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}$ is uniform.

The procedure to derive boundary and interface conditions that we are currently pursuing will take standard $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ conditions and repeat the derivation above to get the proper conditions in terms of $\phi, \vec{\phi}_{1}$, and $\phi_{2}$.
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[^0]:    *It might be beyond the pale to call this Selengut's last theorem as I believe he did much work after this.

